UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
V. John Doe #1 Defendant	Case No. 1:15-MJ-00051	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bahat the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
• •	I – Findings of Fact	
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense desc	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S. which the prison term is 10 years or more	C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for e.	
an offense for which the maximum sente	nce is death or life imprisonment.	
an offense for which a maximum prison t	erm of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable	ad been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e state or local offenses.	
any felony that is not a crime of violence a minor victim	but involves:	
the possession or use of a file a failure to register under 18	rearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon U.S.C. § 2250	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was comm or local offense.	itted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since offense described in finding (1).	the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anoth person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.		
Alte	rnative Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense	
for which a maximum prison term of ten y Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 80 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
	on established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nace and the safety of the community.	
Alte	rnative Findings (B)	
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will n		
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will e	ndanger the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II – Stateme	ent of the Reasons for Detention	
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	d at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing	
1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not	to contest detention at this time.	

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

2. Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be released in any case.

Date:	March 24, 2015	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge